arXiv:1302.4803v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 20 Feb 2013

Topological Response Theory of Abelian Symmetry-Protected Topological Phases in Two Dimensions

Meng Cheng[1] and Zheng-Cheng Gu[2, 3]

[1] *Condensed Matter Theory Center, Department of Physics,*
University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742
[2] *Institute for Quantum Information, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA*
[3] *Department of Physics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA*

(Dated: February 21, 2013)

We systematically characterize the symmetry-protected topological (SPT) phases with finite Abelian symmetries that can be described by Chern-Simons field theory. We propose a topological response theory to uniquely identify the SPT orders, which allows us to obtain an (almost)complete characterization of bosonic SPT phases with any finite Abelian symmetry group. We then compare our results with the recently proposed group cohomolgy approach and discuss the limitation of the Chern-Simons theory approach. We show that even for finite Abelian symmetry, there exist bosonic SPT phases beyond the Chern-Simons theory framework. We also apply the theory to fermionic SPT with $\mathbb{Z}_m$ symmetry and find the classification of SPT phases depends on the parity of m: for even m there are $2m$ classes, m out of which is intrinsically fermionic SPT phases and can not be realized in any bosonic system. Finally we propose a classification scheme of fermionic SPT phases for any finite, Abelian symmetry.

PACS numbers:

Introduction. The classification of gapped quantum phases[1] of matter has led to the conceptual distinction between phases with long-range entanglement (LRE) and those with short-range entanglement (SRE). Different patterns of LRE describe quantum phases with different intrinsic topological (IT) orders, which are typically characterized by their topological ground state degeneracy on torus and fractionalized excitations. In contrast, SRE states have none of these features and are indistinguishable with the trivial product states (e.g. atomic insulators) from their bulk excitations. However, when symmetry is taken into account, finer structure emerges within the SRE states. A notable example is the recently discovered time-reversal-invariant topological insulators in two and in three dimensions [2–7]. These states are topologically nontrivial only if time-reversal symmetry is not broken (explicitly or spontaneously) and thus are called symmetry-protected topological phases [8]. Although SPT phases are seemingly featureless in the bulk, they do support gapless boundary excitations protected by the symmetry which clearly distinguishes them from trivial product states.

The knowledge of SPT phases have been greatly expanded recently, including a complete classification of gapped quantum phases in one dimension [9–12] and generalizations to higher dimensions [13–15]. In the general scheme, d-dimensional SPT phases in bosonic systems with symmetry group G can be systematically constructed from the $(d+1)$-th cohomology of G, denoted by $H^{d+1}(G, \mathbb{U}(1))$. Later on the construction is also generalized to fermionic systems, using the so-called group super-cohomology approach [16]. These powerful constructions are applicable to any symmetry group in arbitrary spatial dimensions and have the advantage of giving directly the fixed-point ground state wavefunctions, as well as exact solvable parent lattice Hamiltonians. However, the wavefunctions and parent Hamiltonians are generally quite complicated and it is not easy to access the low-energy universal properties of these phases, e.g., the edge properties.

Recently, it is proposed [17–21] that a large family of SPT phases in two dimensions protected by Abelian symmetries can be effectively described by Abelian Chern-Simons theory. The classification is then derived from the equivalence classes of **K** matrix and the (perturbative) stability of edge theory. However, a (non-perturbative) bulk argument is missing and the equivalent classes of **K** matrix is also quite difficult to compute for arbitrary Abelian symmetry group. On the other hand, the underlying connection with (super)cohomology class is unclear as well.

In this work we present a unified treatment of both bosonic and fermionic SPT phases in two dimensions, with finite, unitary Abelian symmetries. We generalize the proposal of Levin and Gu [22], originally in the context of bosonic SPT phase protected by $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry. The idea is to probe the bulk properties of SPT phases by coupling to a gauge field with gauge group G and examing the braiding statistics of gauge fluxes, as a unique "topological response" of the SPT phases. This allows us to obtain an (almost)complete characterization of bosonic SPT phases with finite Abelian symmetry group that can be described in the Chern-Simons theory framework. We also study fermionic SPT phases with $\mathbb{Z}_m$ symmetry and interestingly find an even-odd effect: the classification is $\mathbb{Z}_m$ for odd m and $\mathbb{Z}_{2m}$ for even m. Finally we obtain a (minimal)classification of fermionic SPT phases for any finite, Abelian symmetry.

Topological Response Theory of SPT Phases. First we review the Chern-Simons field theory of SPT phases [17, 18]. They are described by a multi-component Abelian Chern-Simons theory, which in its most general form is given by the following Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} a^I_\mu K_{IJ} \partial_\nu a^J_\lambda. \tag{1}$$

Here $\mathbf{K}$ is a $N \times N$ integer symmetric matrix. Notice that for bosonic systems, all diagonal entries of $\mathbf{K}$ must be even while at least one of the diagonal entries is odd for fermionic systems. Since a SPT phase can not have any intrinsic topological order, we require $\det \mathbf{K} = \pm 1$ and there should be no chiral central charge on the boundary which means that the signature of $\mathbf{K}$ matrix must vanish.

We will now assume that the system under consideration has a global, on-site symmetry group G. We only consider unitary, finite Abelian group, which generally can be written as $G = \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \times \cdots \mathbb{Z}_{m_k}$ where $m_k > 1$. We assume that the $\mathbb{Z}_{m_j}$ subgroup is generated by g_j with $g_j^{m_j} = 1$. To understand the consequences of the symmetry, we couple the SPT phase to a gauge field taking value in the gauge group G. This is achieved by writing down minimal coupling for the matter fields in the SPT phases. The minimal coupling is completely specified by the irreducible representations carried by the matter fields. Since the symmetry is Abelian and finite, it amounts to assign $\mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \times \cdots \mathbb{Z}_{m_k}$ gauge charges to each matter fields. Therefore each matter field is associated with gauge charges q_α, valued in $\{0, 1, \dots, m_\alpha - 1\}$ and the subscript α refers to different symmetry subgroups.

To facilitate the continuum field theory formulation, we view the group G as a subgroup of $\mathbb{U}(1)^k$. This perspective allows us to introduce k external $\mathbb{U}(1)$ gauge fields $A^\alpha_\mu, \alpha = 1, \dots, k$ that minimally couple to the matter fields in the SPT phases and then by introducing k Higgs fields φ_α with charge m_α we can obtain a continuum version of discrete gauge theory as the symmetry broken phase of the $\mathbb{U}(1)^k$ Higgs theory. The presence of Higgs condensation results in quantization of gauge fluxes.

After we gauge the global symmetry, the minimal coupling between the matter fields in the bulk and the external gauge fields reads:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{coupling}} = \sum_\alpha \mathbf{q}^T_\alpha \mathbf{j} A^\alpha \tag{2}$$

The full theory is given by $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{CS}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{coupling}}$. We then substitute $\mathbf{j}^\mu = \frac{1}{2\pi}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu \mathbf{a}_\lambda$ and integrate out internal gauge fields $\mathbf{a}$, yielding an effective theory for the external fields A^α:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} A^\alpha_\mu \mathbf{q}^T_\alpha \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_\beta \partial_\nu A^\beta_\lambda + \mathcal{L}_{\text{Higgs}}[\varphi_\alpha, A_\alpha]. \tag{3}$$

We denote $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{q}^T_\alpha \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{q}_\beta$ in the following discussion. We have therefore derived an Abelian Chern-Simons-Higgs theory as the effective "response theory" for the gauged SPT phases. Physically, as long as the symmetry G remains unbroken, we can always gauge the SPT phases. We propose that the braiding statistics of gauge fluxes in the resulting theory fully characterize the SPT phases.

Let us expose the general structure of the fluxes statistics starting from the simplest case $G = \mathbb{Z}_m$. Then we just have a single Chern-Simons term at level $\tilde{K} = \mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{q}$. An "elementary" vortex in the Higgs field encloses $\frac{2\pi}{m}$ gauge flux, as a result of flux quantization. Due to the screening of the Higgs fields, charge excitations in the Higgs field are all bosonic and gauge fluxes can form bound state with the $\mathbb{Z}_m$ charges, which are called "dyon" in the field theory context. The exchange statistics of the vortices can be readily read off from the Chern-Simons term $\frac{\tilde{K}}{4\pi} AdA$ which binds $Q = \frac{\tilde{K}}{2m}$ charge to the vortex. For $\tilde{K}$ not a multiple of $2m$, this "fractional" charge can not be screened by the Higgs condensate. Due to Aharonov-Bohm effect, the flux has exchange statistics $\theta = \frac{\pi \tilde{K}}{m^2}$. The same result can be derived more carefully using charge-vortex duality. As we have argued, θ (or equivalently, $\tilde{K}$) serves as a unique "topological invariant" of the SPT phases with $\mathbb{Z}_m$ symmetry. However, we are still not done yet. A full classification requires determining the equivalence classes of θ, which should be derived from the anyon spectrum in Chern-Simons-Higgs theory[23]. A heuristic, physical argument is the following: In deriving θ we only consider a Higgs vortex. In principle there can be $\mathbb{Z}_m$ charges bound to it. Assuming q elementary $\mathbb{Z}_m$ charge is attached to the vortex, the composite object has statistical angle $\theta + \frac{2\pi q}{m}$. This implies that the exchange statistics of $\mathbb{Z}_m$ flux as a "topological invariant" for SPT phases is defined module $\frac{2\pi}{m}$, or $\tilde{K}$ is defined module $2m$. Two SPT phases whose corresponding statistical angles differ by an integer multiple of $\frac{2\pi}{m}$ should be considered as being equivalent, since the resulting gauge theory is topologically indistinguishable (up to relabeling of dyons). Thus the equivalance classes of $\tilde{K}$ is at most $\mathbb{Z}_{2m}$. The Abelian group structure between SPT phases is rather obvious since θ is additive.

However, this is not the end of the story. The statistics of the underlying particles, bosons or fermions, make a big difference. We first consider the simpler case of bosonic systems. Let us show that $\tilde{K}$ for bosons must be even. This can be seen as follows: we write $\tilde{K} = q_I(\mathbf{K}^{-1})_{IJ} q_J = 2\sum_{I<J} q_I (\mathbf{K}^{-1})_{IJ} q_J + \sum_I q_I^2 (\mathbf{K}^{-1})_{II}$. Since all the diagonal entries of $\mathbf{K}^{-1}$ are even, it is then easy to see that $\tilde{K}$ is even. Thus the classification is reduced to $\mathbb{Z}_m$. They can be realized by the following $\{\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{q}_g\}$:

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2n \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{q}_g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, n = 0, 1, \dots, m-1. \tag{4}$$

It corresponds to $\theta = \frac{2\pi n}{m^2}$.

Having understood $G = \mathbb{Z}_m$, we move to the next level of complexity $G = \mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$. In this case, we have to consider an $\mathbb{U}(1) \times \mathbb{U}(1)$ external gauge fields and the response theory is fully characterized by a 2×2 matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$. Repeating our argument above, the two diagonal elements determine the exchange statistics of the two types of gauge fluxes corresponding to the two subgroups and give $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ classification. The off-diagonal element introduces a new ingredient, the braiding statistics between the two gauge fluxes, denoted by θ_{12}: $\theta_{12} = \frac{2\pi \tilde{K}_{12}}{mn}$. To determine the equivalence classes of θ_{12}, we notice that we can attach $\mathbb{Z}_m$ charge to $\mathbb{Z}_n$ flux or vice versa and the mutual statistical angles are changed by integer multiples of $\frac{2\pi}{m}$ and $\frac{2\pi}{n}$, respectively. Therefore we identify the equivalence relation as

$$\theta_{12} \equiv \theta_{12} + \frac{2\pi k_1}{m} + \frac{2\pi k_2}{n}, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5}$$

In terms of $\tilde{K}_{12}$,

$$\tilde{K}_{12} \equiv \tilde{K}_{12} + k_1 n + k_2 m. \tag{6}$$

The set of integers generated by $k_1 n + k_2 m, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ are simply the integer multiples of (m, n). Therefore we conclude that that $\tilde{K}_{12}$ is defined module (m, n), which gives additional $\mathbb{Z}_{(m,n)}$ classes. The complete classification is thus $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_{(m,n)}$.

What is the physical meaning of the mutual braiding statistics in the SPT phases? To give a concrete example, consider a SPT phase characterized by $\tilde{K}_{11} = \tilde{K}_{22} = 0, \tilde{K}_{12} = l$. One can easily write down the following Chern-Simons theory:

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{q}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{q}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} l \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

So the two "dual" degrees of freedoms in the SPT phases carry the two subgroup symmetries respectively. Other classes can be understood in a similar way. We however note by pass that 2×2 $\mathbf{K}$ matrix is not sufficient to realize all the SPT phases in this case if $(m, n) > 1$. For example, when $m = n = 2$, 7 out of the 8 SPT phases can be realized by 2×2 $\mathbf{K}$ matrix but the one with $\tilde{K}_{11} = \tilde{K}_{22} = 2, \tilde{K}_{12} = 1$ needs minimally 4×4 $\mathbf{K}$ matrix. In general, all bosonic SPT phases with $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ symmetry are realized by

$$\mathbf{K} = \sigma_x \otimes \mathbf{1}_{2\times 2}, \mathbf{q}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{q}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} l \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ q \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

It corresponds to $\tilde{\mathbf{K}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2p & l \\ l & 2q \end{pmatrix}$. This is simply stacking up a layer of SPT phase defined in (7) with another layer which is essentially a $\mathbb{Z}_n$ ($\mathbb{Z}_m$) SPT phase.

It is important to emphasize that the classification of SPT using the braiding and exchange statistics of fluxes is *not* equivalent to classifying the intrinsic topological order described by the corresponding dynamic gauge theory emerging at low energy. To classify the intrinsic topological order of the emergent gauge theory, only the braiding statistics is concerned and we do not keep track of the charge and flux carried by the quasiparticles. For example, when classifying by the intrinsic topological order we are free to exchange the labeling of gauge fluxes which is not allowed in the response theory. The difference is mostly manifested when $m = n$, since then the two gauge fluxes are "indistinguishable", in the sense that they have the same spectrum of exchange statistics. For example, when $m = n = 2$, the response theory gives $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ classification but the 8 theories collapse to only 4 different topological order (see the Supplementary Material [24] for more details). In general, whenever $(m, n) > 1$ the two perspectives give different results. This issue has not been clarified in some related works [25, 26] in the context of classifications of symmetry-enriched topological orders, however, it is manifested in our approach.

We are now well prepared to generalize the above picture to arbitrary finite Abelian group G with $k \neq 2$ generators. The $\tilde{\mathbf{K}}$ matrix of the response theory has k diagonal elements, yielding $\mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \times \cdots \mathbb{Z}_{m_k}$ classification. The $\frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ off-diagonal elements determine the braiding statistics between the gauge fluxes and the classification is $\prod_{i<j} \mathbb{Z}_{(m_i, m_j)}$. Therefore, we conclude that for a given symmetry group $G = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}$, the Abelian Chern-Simons theory construction can give $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{m_i} \times \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq k} \mathbb{Z}_{(m_i, m_j)}$ classification of possible SPT phases.

One may wonder whether this is the complete classification of SPT phases with symmetry group G. A totally independent approach, based on discrete topological θ-term and group cohomology taken by Chen et. al. shows that for a two-dimensional bosonic system with symmetry group G, the classification of bosonic SPT phases is given by the third cohomology of G with $\mathbb{U}(1)$ coefficients $H^3(G, \mathbb{U}(1))$. For $\mathbb{G} = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}$, one finds [23]

$$H^3(G, \mathbb{U}(1)) = \prod_i \mathbb{Z}_{m_i} \prod_{i<j} \mathbb{Z}_{(m_i, m_j)} \prod_{i<j<k} \mathbb{Z}_{(m_i, m_j, m_k)}. \tag{9}$$

Clearly, when $k \geq 3$ the Abelian Chern-Simons theory approach fails to capture the classes of SPT phases associated with $\mathbb{Z}_{(m_i, m_j, m_k)}$. In fact, it has been known that the corresponding group cocyles can not be realized as Abelian Chern-Simons theory. Physically, this is because the gauge fluxes in the topological response theory carry non-Abelian statistics [23].

Fermionic SPT Phases. We now study fermionic SPT phases protected by a symmetry group $G = \mathbb{Z}_m$. The conservation of total fermion parity is considered as a physical constraint rather than a symmetry. We will show quite interestingly, the classification exhibits an even-odd effect: for odd m the classification is $\mathbb{Z}_m$ while for even m, it is $\mathbb{Z}_{2m}$. The $\mathbb{Z}_m$ classes for odd m are nothing but the $\mathbb{Z}_m$ classes for bosonic SPT phases.

The main difference between fermionic systems and bosonic ones is that the "identity" particle is a fermion which itself has a statistical angle π. This implies that when we consider the equivalence classes of the braiding statistics of fluxes in the gauged theory, we are free to attach fermions to them and the statistical angle can be changed by π [27, 28]. We already see there is a difference between even and odd m, since $\mathbb{Z}_m$ gauge theory with even m contains a dyonic excitation with fermionic statistics while for odd m this is not the case.

We first consider m odd. The $\mathbb{Z}_m$ gauge theory itself allows one to change the exchange statistics of elementary gauge fluxes by $\frac{2\pi p}{m}, p = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$. By attaching fermions, the set of phases is fractionalized to $\frac{\pi p}{m}, p = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$. Given two SPT phases characterized by $\tilde{K}_1$ and $\tilde{K}_2$, the equivalence relation can be derived:

$$\frac{\pi\tilde{K}_1}{m^2} - \frac{\pi\tilde{K}_2}{m^2} = \frac{\pi p}{m}, \tag{10}$$

which implies that $\tilde{K} \equiv \tilde{K} + mp$, in constrat to $\tilde{K} \equiv \tilde{K} + 2mp$ for bosonic SPT phases. We then easily see that there are only m different classes (We allow both even and odd $\tilde{K}$ in the fermionic case). Therefore, all fermionic SPT phases with $\mathbb{Z}_m$ symmetry can be identified with the bosonic ones. A physical interpretation is the following: bosonic SPT phases can be realized in the composite boson limit of any fermionic systems, in which pairs of fermions form bosonic molecules. This fact can also be derived directly (see the Supplementary Material for the derivation [24]).

Now we turn to the case when m is even. As we have elaborated, the $\mathbb{Z}_m$ gauge theory allows the statistical angle of fluxes to be changed by $\frac{2\pi p}{m}$. Attaching fermions does not do much in this case since for $p = m/2$ we already have a fermionic quasiparticle. The equivalence relation is exactly the same as the one for bosonic SPT phases, but now we allow both even and odd $\tilde{K}$. When $\tilde{K}$ is odd, the corresponding SPT phases are intrinsically fermionic and can not be realized in any bosonic system. We are then led to the conclusion that the classification for m even is $\mathbb{Z}_{2m}$. We notice that the $m = 2$ case receives a lot of attention recently [29–32] since it serves as a nice example of "collapse" of classification of non-interacting fermions (which is $\mathbb{Z}$ in the present case) when interactions are taken into account ($\mathbb{Z}_8$). The Chern-Simons field theory approach gives rise to a $\mathbb{Z}_4$ classification. The missing four classes are those with unpaired Majorana edge modes which are beyond the Chern-Simons field theory description.

Having worked out the classification for $G = \mathbb{Z}_m$, it is straightforward to generalize to arbitrary finite Abelian group $G = \prod_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_{m_\alpha}$. We notice that the underlying fermionic statistics does not effect mutual braiding properties, so the additional classes due to nontrivial mutual braiding statistics between gauge fluxes in different conjugate classes are still classified by $\prod_{i<j} \mathbb{Z}_{(m_i,m_j)}$. Thus we obtained a classification of fermionic SPT based on Chern-Simons theory as $\prod_i \mathbb{Z}_{m_i^*} \prod_{i<j} \mathbb{Z}_{(m_i,m_j)}$ where m^* is defined as $m^* = m$ for odd m and $2m$ for even m.

Edge theory for fermionic SPT phase. Having established the classification of fermionic SPT phases with $\mathbb{Z}_m$ symmetry, we move on to study the edge theory and its stability in more detail. Let us consider the simplest one of $\mathbb{Z}_m$(for even m) fermionic SPT phases described by the following $\mathbf{K}$ matrix and $\mathbf{q}$ vector:

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{q} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{11}$$

It is well known that the Chern-Simons theory implies existence of gapless edge states, whose effective Lagrangian can be derived from gauge invariance principle [33]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{edge}} = \frac{1}{4\pi}(\partial_t\phi_I K_{IJ}\partial_x\phi_J - \partial_x\phi_I V_{IJ}\partial_x\phi_J). \tag{12}$$

with symmetry transformation:

$$\boldsymbol{\phi} \to \boldsymbol{\phi} + \frac{2\pi}{m}\mathbf{q} \tag{13}$$

To include interaction effect, it is convinient to switch to a non-chiral basis $\phi_1 = \varphi - \theta, \phi_2 = \varphi + \theta$. We then have a generic Luttinger liquid model of the gapless edge:

$$H = \int \mathrm{d}x\, \frac{u}{2\pi}\left[K(\partial_x\theta)^2 + K^{-1}(\partial_x\varphi)^2\right]. \tag{14}$$

Here u is the charge velocity and K the Luttinger parameter. One can see that the $\mathbb{Z}_m$ transformation acts on the non-chiral bosonic fields as $\varphi \to \varphi + \frac{\pi}{m}, \theta \to \theta + \frac{\pi}{m}$. To understand the stability of the edge theory, we add the leading perturbations $\cos 2m\varphi$ and $\cos 2m\theta$ allowed by $\mathbb{Z}_m$ symmetry. They have scaling dimensions $\frac{m^2 K}{2}$ and $\frac{m^2}{2K}$respectively. So demanding that these two perturbations are irrelevant, we find the stable region is $\frac{2}{m^2} < K < \frac{m^2}{2}$.

Conclusion and Discussion. In conclusion, we have systematically investigated the SPT phases with Abelian finite group symmetry in the Chern-Simons field theory framework. We develop a topological response theory to classify the SPT phases by gauging the symmetry group. By carefully studying the equivalence classes of the braiding statistics of gauge fluxes, we characterize all possible bosonic SPT phases that can be realized as Abelian Chern-Simons theories. We also compare our approach with the group cohomology theory approach and discuss the limitation of K-matrix approach. Indeed, the topological response theory describes a non-perturbative effect in the bulk of SPT phases and provides us a unique way to identify different SPT phases. Finally, we extend the classification to fermionic SPT phases. For the simplest symmetry group $G = \mathbb{Z}_m$, we find the classification of fermionic SPT phases has an intriguing even-odd dependence on m. We then generalize the classification to arbitrary finite Abelian groups. We also discuss the edge stability of those intrinsic fermionic SPT phases. For future studies, it would be very interesting to develop a topological response theory to describe those SPT phases with non-Abelian flux statistics. On the other hand, the concept of topological response theory is also very useful for the classification of symmetry enriched topological order.

Acknowledgement. MC thanks Lukasz Fidkowski, Chetan Nayak and Zhenghan Wang for insightful discussions and Microsoft Station Q for hospitality where part of this work was done. ZCG is supported in part by Frontiers Center with support from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation.

[1] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 155138 (2010).
[2] C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 226801 (2005).
[3] B. A. Bernevig, T. Hughes, and S. Zhang, Science **314**, 1757 (2006).
[4] L. Fu, C. L. Kane, and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 106803 (2007).
[5] R. Roy, Phys. Rev. B **79**, 195322 (2009).
[6] J. E. Moore and L. Balents, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 121306 (2007).
[7] A. Kitaev, arXiv:0901.2686 (2009).
[8] Z.-C. Gu and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 155131 (2009).
[9] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 035107 (2011).
[10] L. Fidkowski and A. Kitaev, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 075103 (2011).
[11] A. M. Turner, F. Pollmann, and E. Berg, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 075102 (2011).
[12] N. Schuch, D. Pérez-García, and I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 165139 (2011).
[13] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen (2011), arXiv:1106.4772.
[14] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen, Science **338**, 1604 (2012).
[15] X. Chen, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 235141 (2011).
[16] Z.-C. Gu and X.-G. Wen (2012), arXiv:1201.2648.
[17] M. Levin and A. Stern, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 115131 (2012).
[18] Y.-M. Lu and A. Vishwanath, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 125119 (2012).
[19] T. Senthil and M. Levin, arXiv:1206.1604.
[20] A. Vishwanath and T. Senthil, arXiv:1209.3058.
[21] Z.-X. Liu and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 067205 (2013).
[22] M. Levin and Z.-C. Gu, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 115109 (2012).
[23] M. de Wild Propitius, Ph.D. thesis, University of Amsterdam (1995).
[24] See the Supplementary Material for details.
[25] A. Mesaros and Y. Ran (2012), arXiv:1212.0835.
[26] L.-Y. Hung and X.-G. Wen (2012), arXiv:1212.1827.
[27] Z.-C. Gu, Z. Wang, and X.-G. Wen (2010), arXiv:1010.1517.
[28] M. Freedman, C. Nayak, K. Shtengel, K. Walker, , and Z. Wang, Ann. Phys. (NY) **310**, 428 (2004).
[29] X.-L. Qi (2012), arXiv:1202.3983.
[30] S. Ryu and S.-C. Zhang (2012), arXiv:1202.4484.
[31] H. Yao and S. Ryu (2012), arXiv:1202.5805.
[32] Z.-C. Gu and M. Levin (2013), to appear.
[33] X.-G. Wen, Adv. Phys. **44**, 405 (1995).

Supplementary material

VORTEX DUALITY IN ABELIAN CHERN-SIMONS-HIGGS THEORY

In this section we review the Abelian Chern-Simons-Higgs theory using charge-vortex duality transformation. The Lagrangian density of the theory is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{CSH}} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}A^{\alpha}_{\mu}\tilde{K}_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\nu}A^{\beta} - \sum_{\alpha}\Big[\frac{1}{2}\big|(\partial_{\mu} - im_{\alpha}A_{\alpha})\varphi_{\alpha})\big|^{2} - V(\varphi_{\alpha})\Big]. \tag{S1}$$

The amplitudes of the Higgs fields are fixed by the potential energy. So we write $\varphi_\alpha = v_\alpha e^{i\theta_\alpha}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}A^{\alpha}_{\mu}\tilde{K}_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\nu}A^{\beta} - \sum_{\alpha}\frac{v_{\alpha}^{2}}{2}\Big(m_{\alpha}A^{\alpha}_{\mu} - \partial_{\mu}\theta_{\alpha}\Big)^{2}. \tag{S2}$$

First we perform the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation and write

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}A^{\alpha}_{\mu}\tilde{K}_{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\nu}A^{\beta} - \sum_{\alpha}\Big[\frac{1}{v_{\alpha}^{2}}\xi_{\alpha}^{2} - \xi^{\mu}_{\alpha}\Big(m_{\alpha}A^{\alpha}_{\mu} - \partial_{\mu}\theta_{\alpha}\Big)\Big]. \tag{S3}$$

Then decompose the phase field as $\theta_\alpha = \eta_\alpha + \zeta_\alpha$ where η_α is the smooth part of the phase fluctuation and ζ_α is the singular(vortex) part. Integrate out the smooth part of the phase fields η_α, we obtain the constraint $\partial_\mu \xi^\mu_\alpha = 0$, which can be resolved as $\xi^\mu_\alpha = 2\pi\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\partial_\nu b_{\alpha\lambda}$.

The dual representation

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}A^\alpha_\mu \tilde{K}_{\alpha\beta}\partial_\nu A^\beta - \frac{m_\alpha}{2\pi}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}A^\alpha_\mu \partial_\nu b^\alpha_\lambda + \dots. \tag{S4}$$

We then integrate out the A fields to finish the duality transformation:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{dual}} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}\mathbf{b}^T_\mu \mathbf{m}\tilde{\mathbf{K}}^{-1}\mathbf{m}^T\partial_\nu \mathbf{b}_\lambda. \tag{S5}$$

From the dual action we can directly read off the self and mutual statistics of fluxes.

If we treat the A fields as fully dynamical, the above action can be written as a doubled Chern-Simons theory:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4\pi}(\mathbf{A}^T, \mathbf{b}^T) \wedge \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{M} & \tilde{\mathbf{K}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{b} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{S6}$$

Here $\mathbf{M}$ is the diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(m_1, m_2, \dots)$. We use the wedge product to simplify the expression.

INTRINSIC TOPOLOGICAL ORDER AND CLASSIFICATION OF TOPOLOGICAL RESPONSE

We elaborate on the nonequivalence between the classification of the gauged SPT phases as a "topological response" theory and the classification of the intrinsic topological order, using the example of the gauge group $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, which is also the symmetry group of the SPT phase. The emergent gauged theory is given by the following $\mathbf{K}$ matrices:

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{2\times 2} & \mathbf{2}_{2\times 2} \\ \mathbf{2}_{2\times 2} & \tilde{K} \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{K} = \begin{pmatrix} k & l \\ l & p \end{pmatrix}, k, p \in \{0, 2\}, l \in \{0, 1\}. \tag{S7}$$

The possible choices of k, p and l yields all the $H^3(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{U}(1)) = \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ cohomology classes.

Here we emphasize that the classification of the response theory is not completely equivalent to the classification of the intrinsic topological order defined by the topological gauge theory (S7) where all the gauge fluxes are regarded as dynamical deconfined objects. In the latter case, two (Abelian) topological phases are equivalent as long as they have the same quasiparticle braiding matrices (so-called T and S matrices), regardless of how the quasiparticles are labeled. This kind of equivalence relation is nothing but the $\mathbb{SL}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ equivalence for the $\mathbf{K}$ matrix. In fact, the 8 classes listed in (S7) reduce to only 4 under generic $\mathbb{SL}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ equivalence. In terms of the $\tilde{K}$ matrices, there are only the following four different intrinsic topological orders:

$$\begin{gathered} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{gathered} \tag{S8}$$

Here $\sim$ denotes the equivalence of the derived intrinsic topological orders.

In the response theory, we are not allowed to permute the gauge fluxes from different subgroups of the symmetry group since they correspond to different physical symmetries.

EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN FERMIONIC AND BOSONIC SPT PHASES WITH $\mathbb{Z}_m$ SYMMETRY

We demonstrate directly that when m is odd all $\mathbb{Z}_m$ fermionic SPT phase are equivalent to bosonic ones. Let us consider the bulk Chern-Simons theory of $\mathbb{Z}_m$ fermionic SPT phase

$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{q}_g = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{S9}$$

Here g denotes the generator of the $\mathbb{Z}_m$ symmetry. We then add a trivial phase given by $\mathbf{K} = \sigma_z$ with trivial symmetry transformation on the edge bosons $\mathbf{q}'_g = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ p \end{pmatrix}$ where $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. We pack the whole system into a 4×4 $\mathbf{K}$ given by $\mathbf{K} = \sigma_z \otimes \mathbf{1}_{2\times 2}$.

We then perform the following $\mathbb{SL}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation

$$\mathbf{W} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{S10}$$

Under $\mathbf{W}$ the $\mathbf{K}$ matrix becomes $\mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_z & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_x \end{pmatrix}$. So the first two components are describing fermionic systems and the last two bosonic ones. We denote the symmetry vector for the collectively as $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_g = (q_1, q_2, p, p)^T$. The edge modes in the new basis are denoted by

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^f \\ \phi_2^f \\ \phi_1^b \\ \phi_2^b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 - \phi'_1 - \phi'_2 \\ \phi_2 \\ \phi'_1 + \phi'_2 \\ \phi_1 - \phi'_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{S11}$$

Under the $\mathbb{SL}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation $\mathbf{W}$, the vector $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_g \to \mathbf{W}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_g = (q_1 - 2p, q_2, 2p, q_1 - p)^T$.

If $q_1 - q_2$ is even, we let $p = \frac{q_1 - q_2}{2}$ and then $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_g = (q_2, q_2, q_1 - q_2, \frac{q_1 + q_2}{2})^T$. Thus the fermionic SPT is equivalent to a bosonic one with $\mathbf{K} = \sigma_x, \mathbf{q}_g^b = (q_1 - q_2, \frac{q_1 + q_2}{2})^T$.

If $q_1 - q_2$ is odd, it seems that $p = \frac{q_1 - q_2}{2}$, being a half integer, is not physical. Here the fermionic nature plays a crucial. This is most easily understood from the edge modes. The edge modes $\boldsymbol{\phi} = (\phi_1, \phi_2)^T$ transforms under the $\mathbb{Z}_m$ symmetry as

$$U_g \boldsymbol{\phi} U_g^\dagger = \boldsymbol{\phi} + \frac{2\pi p}{m} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{S12}$$

When p is a half integer, we have

$$U_g^m \boldsymbol{\phi} (U_g^\dagger)^m = \boldsymbol{\phi} + 2\pi p \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \boldsymbol{\phi} + \pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{S13}$$

which is projectively the identity in a fermionic system.

We now turn to the corresponding bosonic SPT. We notice that

$$U_g^m \boldsymbol{\phi}^b (U_g^\dagger)^m = \boldsymbol{\phi}^b + \pi \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ q_1 + q_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{S14}$$

which is not consistent with $U_g^m = 1$ in the bosonic case. Again the identity transformation in a fermionic system can be realized projectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 &\to \phi_1 + \pi \\ \phi_2 &\to \phi_2 + \pi, \end{aligned} \tag{S15}$$

which means that

$$\phi_2^b = \phi_1 - \phi'_2 \to \phi_2^b + \pi \tag{S16}$$

is also an identity transformation. So we can freely add $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \pi \end{pmatrix}$ to $\boldsymbol{\phi}^b$ and as a result U_g^m can differ by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ m\pi \end{pmatrix}$, which makes the derived relation legitimate for odd m, but not for even m. We therefore prove that the fermionic SPT phases with $\mathbb{Z}_m$ are all equivalent to bosonic ones when m is odd.